arXiv:math/0502079v1 [math.DG] 3 Feb 2005

SHARP GRADIENT ESTIMATE AND YAU'S LIOUVILLE THEOREM FOR THE HEAT EQUATION ON NONCOMPACT MANIFOLDS

PHILIPPE SOUPLET AND QI S. ZHANG

Abstract. We derive a sharp, localized version of elliptic type gradient estimates for positive solutions (bounded or not) to the heat equation. These estimates are akin to the Cheng-Yau estimate for the Laplace equation and Hamilton's estimate for bounded solutions to the heat equation on compact manifolds. As applications, we generalize Yau's celebrated Liouville theorem for positive harmonic functions to positive eternal solutions of the heat equation, under certain growth condition. Surprisingly, this Liouville theorem for the heat equation does not hold even in $\mathbf{R}^n$ without such a condition. We also prove a sharpened long time gradient estimate for the log of heat kernel on noncompact manifolds. This has been an open problem in view of the well known estimates in the compact, short time case.

1. Introduction and main results

In recent years, the following three types of gradient estimates have emerged to play a fundamental role in the study of the Laplace and the heat equation on manifolds.

Theorem *(Cheng-Yau [CY]). Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a complete manifold with dimension* $n \geq 2$, *Ricci*$(\mathbf{M}) \geq -k$, $k \geq 0$. *Suppose* u *is any positive harmonic function in a geodesic ball* $B(x_0, R) \subset \mathbf{M}$. *There holds*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq \frac{c_n}{R} + c_n \sqrt{k}, \tag{1.1}$$

in $B(x_0, R/2)$, *where* c_n *depends only on the dimension* n.

Theorem *(Li-Yau [LY]). Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a complete manifold with dimension* $n \geq 2$, *Ricci*$(\mathbf{M}) \geq -k$, $k \geq 0$. *Suppose* u *is any positive solution to the heat equation in* $B(x_0, R) \times [t_0 - T, t_0]$. *Then*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \frac{u_t}{u} \leq \frac{c_n}{R^2} + \frac{c_n}{T} + c_n k, \tag{1.2}$$

in $B(x_0, R/2) \times [t_0 - T/2, t_0]$. *Here* c_n *depends only on the dimension* n.

Theorem *(Hamilton [H]). Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a compact manifold without boundary and with* *Ricci*$(\mathbf{M}) \geq -k$, $k \geq 0$ *and* u *be a smooth positive solution of the heat equation with* $u \leq M$ *for all* $(x, t) \in \mathbf{M} \times (0, \infty)$. *Then*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} \leq (\frac{1}{t} + 2k) \ln \frac{M}{u}. \tag{1.3}$$

Date: January 2005.

Clearly estimate (1.2) reduces to (1.1) when u is independent of time. On the other hand, for a time dependent solution of the heat equation, it is well known that the elliptic type gradient estimate (1.1) can not hold in general. This can be seen from the simple example where $u(x,t) = e^{-|x|^2/4t}/(4\pi t)^{n/2}$ being the fundamental solution of the heat equation in $\mathbf{R}^n$. The parabolic Harnack inequality also exhibits the same phenomenon in that the temperature at a given point in space time is controlled from the above by the temperature at a later time. However, in the case of compact manifolds, Hamilton's estimate (1.3) shows that one can compare the temperature of two different points at the same time provided the temperature is bounded.

Just like the Cheng-Yau and Li-Yau estimates, it would be highly desirable to have a noncompact or localized version of Hamilton's estimate. However, the example in Remark 1.1 below shows that the suspected noncompact version of Hamilton's estimate is *false* even for $\mathbf{R}^n$! This situation contrasts sharply with the Cheng-Yau and Li-Yau inequality for which the local and noncompact versions are readily available.

Nevertheless in this paper we discover that, for noncompact manifolds the elliptic Cheng-Yau estimate actually holds for the heat equation, after inserting a necessary logarithmic correction term. This correction term is slightly bigger than that in Hamilton's theorem (in the power of the log term). However the estimate holds for noncompact manifolds and it also has a localized version as the Cheng-Yau estimate. This result seems surprising since it enables the comparison of temperature distribution instantaneously, without any lag in time, even for noncompact manifolds, regardless of the boundary behavior (see Remark 2.1). In some cases, our estimate (see (1.5) below) even holds for *any* positive solutions, bounded or not. This results seems new even in $\mathbf{R}^n$ or compact manifolds.

Here is the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a Riemannian manifold with dimension* $n \ge 2$*, Ricci*$(\mathbf{M}) \ge -k$, $k \ge 0$. *Suppose* u *is any positive solution to the heat equation in* $Q_{R,T} \equiv B(x_0, R) \times [t_0 - T, t_0] \subset \mathbf{M} \times (-\infty, \infty)$. *Suppose also* $u \le M$ *in* $Q_{R,T}$. *Then there exists a dimensional constant* c *such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{u(x,t)} \le c\Big(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{T^{1/2}} + \sqrt{k}\Big)\Big(1 + \ln \frac{M}{u(x,t)}\Big) \tag{1.4}$$

in $Q_{R/2,T/2}$.

Moreover, if $\mathbf{M}$ *has nonnegative Ricci curvature and* u *is any positive solution of the heat equation on* $\mathbf{M} \times (0,\infty)$*, then there exist dimensional constants* c_1, c_2 *such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{u(x,t)} \le c_1 \frac{1}{t^{1/2}}\Big(c_2 + \ln \frac{u(x,2t)}{u(x,t)}\Big) \tag{1.5}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{M}$ *and* $t > 0$.

An immediate application of the theorem is the following time-dependent Liouville theorem, generalizing Yau's celebrated Liouville theorem for positive harmonic functions, which states that any positive harmonic function on a noncompact manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature is a constant. One tends to expect that Yau's Liouville theorem would still hold for positive eternal solutions to the heat equation. However the following simple example shows that this expectation is false. Let $u = e^{x+t}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^1$. Clearly, u

is a positive eternal solution for the heat equation in $\mathbf{R}^1$ and it is not a constant. Nevertheless, our next theorem shows that under certain growth conditions, Yau's Liouville theorem continues to hold for positive eternal solutions of the heat equation. Moreover, our growth condition in the spatial direction is sharp by the above example.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a complete, noncompact manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Then the following conclusions hold.*

(a).Let u *be a positive eternal solution to the heat equation (i.e. solutions defined in all space-time) such that* $u(x,t) = e^{o(d(x)+\sqrt{|t|})}$ *near infinity. Then* u *is a constant.*

(b). Let u *be an eternal solution to the heat equation such that* $u(x,t) = o\big([d(x) + \sqrt{|t|}]\big)$ *near infinity. Then* u *is a constant.*

Note that the growth condition on the second statement of Theorem 1.2 is also sharp in the spatial direction, due to the example $u = x$.

Remark 1.1. Here we give an example showing that Theorem 1.1 is sharp for noncompact manifolds. This is suprising since it shows that Hamilton's estimate for the compact case (1.3) is actually false for noncompact manifolds.

For $a > 0$ consider $u = e^{ax+a^2 t}$. Clearly u is a positive solution of the heat equation in $Q = [1,3] \times [1,2] \subset \mathbf{R} \times (-\infty, \infty)$. Also $\nabla u(2,2)/u(2,2) = a$ and $M = \sup_Q u = e^{3a+2a^2}$. Hence $\log(M/u(2,2)) = a$. Therefore at $(x,t) = (2,2)$ the left hand side and right hand side of (1.4) with $R = T = 1$ are a and $c(1+a)$ respectively. Obviously they are equivalent when a is large.

Another application of Theorem 1.1 is the following sharpened estimate on the gradient of the fundamental solution of the heat equation.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a* n *dimensional complete, noncompact manifold with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Let* $G(x,y,t)$ *be the fundamental solution of the heat equation. Then there exists* $c = c(n) > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla_x G(x,y,t)|}{G(x,y,t)} \le c \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \big(1 + \frac{d(x,y)^2}{t}\big). \tag{1.6}$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$ *and* $t > 0$.

Remark 1.2. For a noncompact manifold and large time, it seems that all previous results establish an upper bound for $|\nabla G|$ rather than $|\nabla G|/G$. Notice also that estimate (1.6) is almost sharp since, when $\mathbf{M}$ is the Euclidean space, one has

$$\frac{|\nabla_x G(x,y,t)|}{G(x,y,t)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \frac{d(x,y)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

We should mention that in the compact and short time case, estimate similar to (1.5) with a sharp power on the $d(x,y)^2/t$ term have been obtained for all derivatives of $\log G$. See the papers [S], [H] (Corollary 1.3), [N], [MS], [Hs] and [ST]. The noncompact case has been wide open.

Our work is motivated by [CY], [LY], [Y1-2], [H] and [BL] and [GGK].

2. **Proof of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3**

The order of proofs is Theorem 1.1, 1.3 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose u is a solution to the heat equation in the statement of the theorem in the parabolic cube $Q_{R,T} = B(x_0, R) \times [t_0 - T, t_0]$. It is clear that the gradient estimate in Theorem 1.1 is invariant under the scaling $u \to u/M$. Therefore, we can and do assume that $0 < u \le 1$.

Write

$$f = \ln u, \qquad w \equiv |\nabla \ln(1-f)|^2 = \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Since u is a solution to the heat equation, simple calculation shows that

$$\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - f_t = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

We will derive an equation for w. First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= \frac{2\nabla f (\nabla f)_t}{(1-f)^2} + \frac{2|\nabla f|^2 f_t}{(1-f)^3} \\ &= \frac{2\nabla f \nabla(\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2)}{(1-f)^2} + \frac{2|\nabla f|^2(\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2)}{(1-f)^3} \end{aligned}$$

In local orthonormal system, this can be written as

$$w_t = \frac{2 f_j f_{iij} + 4 f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{f_i^2 f_{jj} + |\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here and below, we have adopted the convention $u_i^2 = |\nabla u|^2$ and $u_{ii} = \Delta u$.

Next

$$\nabla w = \Big(\frac{f_i^2}{(1-f)^2}\Big)_j = \frac{2 f_i f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{f_i^2 f_j}{(1-f)^3}. \tag{2.4}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= \Big(\frac{f_i^2}{(1-f)^2}\Big)_{jj} \\ &= \frac{2 f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + \frac{2 f_i f_{ijj}}{(1-f)^2} + \frac{4 f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{4 f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{f_i^2 f_{jj}}{(1-f)^3} + 6\frac{f_i^2 f_j^2}{(1-f)^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By (2.5) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta w - w_t \\
&= \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{f_i f_{ijj} - f_j f_{iij}}{(1-f)^2} \\
&\quad + 6\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} + 8\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{f_i^2 f_{jj}}{(1-f)^3} \\
&\quad - 4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{f_i^2 f_{jj}}{(1-f)^3} - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The 5th and 7th terms on the righthand side of this identity cancel each other. Also, by Bochner's identity

$$f_i f_{ijj} - f_j f_{iij} = f_j(f_{jii} - f_{iij}) = R_{ij} f_i f_j \geq -k|\nabla f|^2,$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta w - w_t \\
&\geq \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 6\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} + 8\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} - 4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} - \frac{2k|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Notice from (2.4) that

$$\nabla f \nabla w = \frac{2f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{f_i^2 f_j^2}{(1-f)^3}.$$

Hence

$$0 = 4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^2} - 2\nabla f \nabla w + 4\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$0 = -4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} + [2\nabla f \nabla w - 4\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3}]\frac{1}{1-f}. \tag{2.8}$$

Adding (2.6) with (2.7) and (2.8), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta w - w_t \\
&\geq \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} + 4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{1-f}\nabla f \nabla w - 2\nabla f \nabla w + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} - \frac{2k|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} + 4\frac{f_i f_{ij} f_j}{(1-f)^3} \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\Delta w - w_t \geq \frac{2f}{1-f}\nabla f \nabla w + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} - \frac{2k|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2}.$$

Since $f \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\Delta w - w_t \geq \frac{2f}{1-f}\nabla f \nabla w + 2(1-f)\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} - \frac{2k|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2},$$

i.e

$$\Delta w - w_t \geq \frac{2f}{1-f}\nabla f \nabla w + 2(1-f)w^2 - 2kw. \tag{2.9}$$

From here, we will use the well known cut-off function by Li-Yau [LY], to derive the desired bounds. We caution the reader that the calculation is not the same as in that of [LY] due to the difference of the first order term.

Let $\psi = \psi(x,t)$ be a smooth cut-off function supported in $Q_{R,T}$, satisfying the following properties

(1). $\psi = \psi(d(x,x_0),t) \equiv \psi(r,t)$; $\psi(x,t) = 1$ in $Q_{R/2,T/4}$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$.

(2). ψ is decreasing as a radial function in the spatial variables.

(3). $\frac{|\partial_r \psi|}{\psi^a} \leq \frac{C_a}{R}$, $\frac{|\partial_r^2 \psi|}{\psi^a} \leq \frac{C_a}{R^2}$when $0 < a < 1$.

(4). $\frac{|\partial_t \psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C}{T}$.

Then, from (2.9) and a straight forward calculation, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(\psi w) + b\cdot\nabla(\psi w) - 2\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi}\cdot\nabla(\psi w) - (\psi w)_t \\ &\geq 2\psi(1-f)w^2 + (b\cdot\nabla\psi)w - 2\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi}w + (\Delta\psi)w - \psi_t w - 2kw\psi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where we have written

$$b = -\frac{2f}{1-f}\nabla f.$$

Suppose the maximum of ψw is reached at (x_1,t_1). By [LY], we can assume, without loss of generality that x_1 is not in the cut-locus of $\mathbf{M}$. Then at this point, one has, $\Delta(\psi w) \leq 0$, $(\psi w)_t \geq 0$ and $\nabla(\psi w) = 0$. Therefore

$$2\psi(1-f)w^2(x_1,t_1) \leq -\Big[\ (b\cdot\nabla\psi)w - 2\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi}w + (\Delta\psi)w - \psi_t w\ + 2kw\psi\Big](x_1,t_1). \tag{2.11}$$

We need to find an upper bound for each term of the righthand side of (2.11).

$$\begin{aligned} |(b\cdot\nabla\psi)w| &\leq \frac{2|f|}{1-f}|\nabla f| w|\nabla\psi| \leq 2w^{3/2}|f|\ |\nabla\psi| \\ &= 2[\psi(1-f)w^2]^{3/4}\ \frac{f|\nabla\psi|}{[\psi(1-f)]^{3/4}} \\ &\leq \psi(1-f)w^2 + c\frac{(f|\nabla\psi|)^4}{[\psi(1-f)]^3}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|(b\cdot\nabla\psi)w| \leq (1-f)\psi w^2 + c\frac{f^4}{R^4(1-f)^3}. \tag{2.12}$$

For the second term on the righthand side of (2.11), we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi}w &= \psi^{1/2}w\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi^{3/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + c\Big(\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi^{3/2}}\Big)^2 \leq \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + c\frac{1}{R^4}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Furthermore, by the properties of ψ and the assumption of on the Ricci curvature, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
-(\Delta\psi)w &= -(\partial_r^2\psi + (n-1)\frac{\partial_r\psi}{r} + \partial_r\psi\partial_r \ln\sqrt{g})w \\
&\le (|\partial_r^2\psi| + 2(n-1)\frac{|\partial_r\psi|}{R} + \sqrt{k}|\partial_r\psi|)w \\
&\le \psi^{1/2}w\frac{|\partial_r^2\psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} + \psi^{1/2}w2(n-1)\frac{|\partial_r\psi|}{R\psi^{1/2}} + \psi^{1/2}w\frac{\sqrt{k}|\partial_r\psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} \\
&\le \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + c\big([\frac{|\partial_r^2\psi|}{\psi^{1/2}}]^2 + [\frac{|\partial_r\psi|}{R\psi^{1/2}}]^2 + [\frac{\sqrt{k}|\partial_r\psi|}{\psi^{1/2}}]^2\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$-(\Delta\psi)w \le \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + c\frac{1}{R^4} + ck\frac{1}{R^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

Now we estimate $|\psi_t|\ w$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_t|\ w &= \psi^{1/2}w\frac{|\psi_t|}{\psi^{1/2}} \\
&\le \frac{1}{8}\big(\psi^{1/2}w\big)^2 + c\big(\frac{|\psi_t|}{\psi^{1/2}}\big)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$|\psi_t|w \le \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + c\frac{1}{T^2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Finally, for the last term, we have

$$2kw\psi \le \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + ck^2. \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting (2.12)-(2.16) to the righthand side of (2.11), we deduce,

$$2(1-f)\psi w^2 \le (1-f)\psi w^2 + c\frac{f^4}{R^4(1-f)^3} + \frac{1}{2}\psi w^2 + \frac{c}{R^4} + \frac{c}{T^2} + \frac{ck}{R^2} + ck^2.$$

Recall that $f \le 0$, therefore the above implies

$$\psi w^2(x_1,t_1) \le c\frac{f^4}{R^4(1-f)^4} + \frac{1}{2}\psi w^2(x_1,t_1) + \frac{c}{R^4} + \frac{c}{T^2} + ck^2.$$

Since $\frac{f^4}{(1-f)^4} \le 1$, the above shows, for all (x,t) in $Q_{R,T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^2(x,t)w^2(x,t) &\le \psi^2(x_1,t_1)w^2(x_1,t_1) \\
&\le \psi(x_1,t_1)w^2(x_1,t_1) \\
&\le c\frac{c}{R^4} + \frac{c}{T^2} + ck^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\psi(x,t) = 1$ in $Q_{R/2,T/4}$ and $w = |\nabla f|^2/(1-f)^2$. We finally have

$$\frac{|\nabla f(x,t)|}{1-f(x,t)} \le \frac{c}{R} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{T}} + c\sqrt{k}.$$

We have completed the proof of (1.4) since $f = \ln(u/M)$ with M scaled to 1.

To prove (1.5), we apply (1.4) on the cube $Q_{\sqrt{t},t/2} = B(x,\sqrt{t}) \times [t/2,t]$. By Li-Yau's inequality [LY], we know that

$$M = \sup_{Q_{\sqrt{t},t/2}} u \le cu(x,2t).$$

Now (1.5) follows from (1.4). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let $G(x,y,t)$ be the fundamental solution of the heat equation on $\mathbf{M}$. By the Li-Yau estimate [LY], given any $\delta > 0$, there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that,

$$\frac{c_2}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4-\delta)t} \le G(x,y,t) \le \frac{c_1}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4+\delta)t}, \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$ and $t > 0$. Fixing x, y and t, we apply Theorem 1.1 on the function $u(z,\tau) = G(z,y,\tau)$ on the cube $Q = B(x,\sqrt{t}) \times [t/2,t]$. By the upper bound in (2.16) and the volume doubling property of the manifold, we know that

$$u(z,\tau) \le \frac{c_1}{|B(z,\sqrt{\tau})|} \le \frac{c_3}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|}$$

for $(z,\tau) \in Q$. By Theorem 1.1 and the lower bound in (2.16), we deduce

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{u(x,t)} \le c\frac{1}{t^{1/2}}\big(1 + \ln \frac{\frac{c_3}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|}}{\frac{c_2}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4-\delta)t}}\big).$$

That is

$$\frac{|\nabla_x G(x,y,t)|}{G(x,y,t)} \le c_4 \frac{1}{t^{1/2}}\big(1 + \frac{d(x,y)^2}{t}\big).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

(a). The proof is immediate. By our assumption the function $u+1$ satisfies $\ln(u+1) = o(d(x) + \sqrt{t})$ near infinity. Fixing (x_0,t_0) in space time and using Theorem 1.1 for $u+1$ on the cube $B(x_0,R) \times [t_0 - R^2, t_0]$, we have

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x_0,t_0)|}{u(x_0,t_0)+1} \le \frac{C}{R}[1 + o(R)].$$

Letting $R \to \infty$, it follows that $|\nabla u(x_0,t_0)| = 0$. Since (x_0,t_0) is arbitrary, one sees that $u = c$.

(b). Fix (x_0,t_0) in space time and let $A_R = \sup_{Q_{R,\sqrt{R}}} |u|$. Consider the function $U = u + 2A_{2R}$. Clearly $A_{2R} \le U(x,t) \le 3A_{2R}$ when $(x,t) \in Q_{2R,\sqrt{2R}}$. Applying Theorem 1.1 (a) for U, we deduce

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x_0,t_0)|}{u(x_0,t_0)+2A_{2R}} \le \frac{C}{R}.$$

Since $A_{2R} = o(R)$ by assumption, the result follows after taking R to ∞. □

Remark 2.1. In case $\mathbf{M}$ has nonnegative Ricci curvature, (1.4) reduces to

$$\frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{u(x,t)} \le c(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{T^{1/2}})\big(1 + \ln \frac{M}{u(x,t)}\big)$$

in $Q_{R/2,T/2}$. If $d(x,y) \leq \sqrt{T}$, we can integrate this along a geodesic to deduce

$$\frac{M}{u(y,t)} \geq c\Big(\frac{M}{u(x,t)}\Big)^{\theta},$$

for some $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $c > 0$ depending only on n. Note in the compact case, Hamilton's theorem implies the above with $\theta = 1$, which gives an elliptic Harnack inequality for the heat equation for compact manifolds. However, as pointed in the introduction, the elliptic Harnack inequality is false for the heat equation on noncompact manifolds in general. This explains the difference between our noncompact gradient estimate (1.4) and Hamilton's compact estimate (1.3).

Acknowledgement. We thank Professors Huaidong Cao, Bennet Chow, Alexander Grigoryan, Peter Li, Zhiqin Lu and Lei Ni for very helpful conversations.

References

[BL] Benachour, S.; Laurenot, P., *Global solutions to viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations with irregular initial data.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations 24 (1999), no. 11-12, 1999–2021

[CY] Cheng, S. Y.; Yau, S. T. *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), no. 3, 333–354.

[GGK] Gilding, B. H.; Guedda, M.; Kersner, R., *The Cauchy problem for* $u_t = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^q$. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 284 (2003), no. 2, 733–755.

[H] Hamilton, Richard S. *A matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), no. 1, 113–126.

[Hs] Hsu, E. P. *Estimates of derivatives of the heat kernel on a compact Riemannian manifold.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), no. 12, 3739–3744.

[LY] Li, P.; Yau, S.T., On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, *Acta Math.*, 156 (1986) 153-201.

[MS] Malliavin, P. and Stroock, D. W., *Short time behavior of the heat kernel and its logarithmic derivatives,* J. of Diff. Geom., 44, No. 3 (1996), 550-570.

[N] Norris, J. R., *Path integral formulae for heat kernels and their derivatives,* Prob. Theory and Related Fields, 94 (1993), 525-541.

[S] Sheu, S. J., *Some estimates of the transition density of a nondegenerate diffusion Markov process.* Ann. Probab. 19 (1991), no. 2, 538–561.

[ST] Stroock, D. W.; Turetsky, J., *Upper bounds on derivatives of the logarithm of the heat kernel.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), no. 4, 669–685.

[Y1] Yau, S. T., *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201–228.

[Y2] Yau, S.T., *On the Harnack inequalities for partial differential equations*, Comm. Analysis and Geometry, Vol.2, No. 3, (1994), 431-450.

e-mails: souplet@math.uvsq.fr and qizhang@math.ucr.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Picardie, 02109 St-Quentin, France and Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA